1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA MARIO CANODY, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-04-1168 FCD KJM P 12 VS. 13 SCOTT KERNAN, Warden, et al., 14 Respondents. ORDER 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner inmate proceeding pro se, has filed this application for 17 a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On August 8, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner 22 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24 304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 26 proper analysis.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 8, 2005, are adopted in full;
3	and
4	2. Respondent's September 8, 2004 motion to dismiss is granted.
5	DATED:September 8, 2005
6	
7	/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.
8	United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
1516	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	